

Vasant Ganesh Dabke ...Petitioner
vs.
The State of Maharashtra and Others ...Respondents

CORAM : SHANTANU KEMKAR &
MAKARAND KARNIK, JJ.

P.C.:

1. The learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that he has no instructions from his client.
2. We have perused the office remarks mentioning that dispute does not constitute a Public Interest Litigation within the meaning of Rule 3(4) of the Bombay High Court PIL Rules, 2010. Be that as it may, since no one is appearing for the Petitioner, the Petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(M.S. KARNIK, J.)

(S.S. KEMKAR, J.)