

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 24 OF 2016
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 1006 OF 2002**

Shri Ramesh Taurani	...Applicant
vs.	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

Mr.A.H. Ponda i/b. Apoorv Singh for Applicant.
Mrs.M.M. Deshmukh, APP for State.

CORAM : RANJIT MORE & S.C. GUPTA, JJ.

22 JANUARY 2016

P.C. :

The application is filed seeking permission to travel abroad to the places mentioned in the itinerary at page 27 of the application from 1 February 2016 to 20 November 2016.

2 It appears that the Applicant was an accused and had been acquitted by the trial court. The State, being aggrieved by the acquittal of the Applicant, has filed an appeal against the order of acquittal, which is registered as Criminal Appeal No.1006 of 2002. The Applicant has annexed various orders of this court by which the Applicant is permitted to travel abroad during the pendency of the trial.

3 We have heard Mr.Ponda, learned Counsel for the Applicant, and Mrs.Deshmukh, learned APP appearing on behalf of the Respondent – State.

4 In the light of the fact that the Applicant has been permitted to travel abroad on several occasions by the orders of this court and the Applicant has not breached these orders, we allow this application and grant permission to the Applicant to travel abroad as per the itinerary disclosed by the Applicant at page

27 of the application on the same terms and conditions, which were imposed on the Applicant earlier. On his return to India, the Applicant to proceed to comply with the orders of this court.

5 The application is allowed, as indicated above.

(S.C. GUPTE, J.)

(RANJIT MORE, J.)