

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.1954 OF 2016

Shri Govindrao Ravsaheb Khatmode.] ... Petitioner

Versus

Additional Commissioner, Pune Region, Pune]
and Ors.] ... Respondents

Mr. Rahul S. Kadam for Petitioner.
Mrs. Vaishali Nimbalkar, AGP for State.

CORAM :- M. S. SONAK, J.
DATE :- MARCH 03, 2016

P. C. :-

1. As against the impugned order, the petitioner has remedy by way of instituting a second revision application under Section 257 of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Code, 1966, before the State Government.

2. The Division Bench of this Court, in Letters Patent Appeal No.55 of 2003 decided on 13/07/2005, has held that such second revision application is maintainable. Further, the Hon'ble Apex Court, in the case of *Gurudassing Nawoosing Panjwani Versus The State of Maharashtra and others*¹, upheld this position.

¹ Civil Appeal No.5102 of 2006

3. In view of the aforesaid, there is no necessity to entertain the present petition. However, the petitioner is at liberty to institute a revision petition before the State Government.

4. With liberty as aforesaid, this petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

5. All concerned to act on basis of authenticated copy of this order.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)