

nsc.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 1814 OF 2015**

Pritam Rajaram Shinde	...Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

Mr.Niteen Pradhan i/b Ms.S.D.Khot, for the Applicant

Ms.Veera Shinde, A.P.P for the Respondent-State

PHC – A.B.Kumbhar, Karad Police Station, Satara.

***CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.
DATE : 3rd MAY, 2016***

P.C. :

1. Heard learned Counsel for the applicant.
2. This is the second bail application preferred by the applicant.
The first bail application of the applicant was rejected on merits by this Court (Coram: A.R.Joshi, J.) vide order dated 7th April, 2014 in Bail Application No.290 of 2014. The said order is on page 257 of the application. As Justice A.R.Joshi has retired, I have taken up this application for considering the relief sought for in this application.

3. Learned Counsel for the applicant submitted that against the order dated 7th April, 2014 by which the applicant's first application for bail was rejected, the applicant approached the Apex Court by filing an Special Leave to Appeal (Cri) No.2837 of 2015. He submitted that pursuant to the liberty granted by the Apex Court, the applicant again moved the Sessions Court seeking his enlargement on bail and against the rejection of the said application, the applicant has approached this Court.

4. Learned APP submitted that there is no change of circumstance warranting entertaining of the second bail application.

5. Perused the papers. Vide order dated 7th April, 2014, this Court (Coram: A.R.Joshi, J.) had rejected the applicant's application for bail on merits, by a detailed order, after considering all the submissions which are again sought to be argued before me.

6. The Apex Court vide order dated 23rd February, 2015, in Special Leave to Appeal (Cri) No.2837 of 2015 has passed the following order :-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the special leave petition.

The special leave petition is dismissed as withdrawn. However, this withdrawal will not stand in the way of the petitioner to move before the appropriate forum.”

7. Learned Counsel for the applicant is unable to point out any change in circumstance, since the first application for bail was rejected by this Court vide order dated 7th April, 2014.

8. Considering the same, the application for bail is rejected and disposed of as such. However, the trial of the applicant is expedited. The Trial Court shall make an endeavour to dispose of the case, as expeditiously as possible.

REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.