

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.8030 OF 2015

Shri Hanmant Pandurang Vedpathak	..Petitioner
Versus	
State of Maharashtra & Ors.	..Respondents

Mr. Sanjay Thokade i/by Mr. P.S.Gole , Advocates for the Petitioner.
Mrs. Molina P. Thakur , AGP for the State-Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and 5.

**Coram : SHANTANU KEMKAR &
RANJIT MORE, JJ.**

Date : 28th APRIL, 2016.

P. C. :

Parties through their counsel.

2 **Rule.** Rule made returnable forthwith. With consent of the learned counsel for the Parties, the Petition is taken up for final hearing forthwith.

3 The Petitioner by filing this Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has challenged the order dated 28.5.2015 passed by the Education Officer (Secondary) Zilla Parishad, Satara. According to the learned counsel for the Petitioner, while passing the said order, the Education Officer has ignored Petitioner's reply to the show-cause notice as also the report dated 7.8.2014 by the Deputy Education Officer (Secondary) Zilla Parishad, Satara.

4 Having gone through the impugned order and having considered submissions made by the learned counsel for the Parties, we find that the impugned order has been passed by the Education Officer (Secondary) Zilla Parishad, Satara mechanically. No reasons have been assigned in the said order dealing with the reply filed by the Petitioner to the show-cause notice as also the report of the Deputy Education Officer (Secondary) Zilla Parishad, Satara.

5 In view of the aforesaid, we set aside the impugned order and remit the matter back to the Education Officer (Secondary) Zilla Parishad, Satara for passing fresh order. The Petitioner may submit his detail representation before the said authority within two weeks. The authorities shall pass reasoned order within six weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

6 Rule made absolute accordingly.

C.C. as per Rules.

[RANJIT MORE, J.]

[SHANTANU KEMKAR, J.]