

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

SECOND APPEAL NO. 540 OF 2003

Hari Gopal Sawant,
R/at Sonali, Tal. Bhudargad,
Dist. Kolhapur.

... Appellant

v/s

Sau.Hausabai Vithu Warake
(since deceased, through LRs.)
1 A. Rangrao Vithu Warke; & ors.
R/at Gargoti, Tal. Bhudargad,
Dist. Kolhapur.

... Respondents

Ms.Anjali Helekar for the appellant.

Mr.Girish Paryani for Respondent Nos.1 A to 1 H.

Coram: N.M. Jamdar, J.

Dated: 4 May 2016

P.C.:

Learned counsel for the parties state that parties have amicably resolved their dispute and have executed consent terms. Learned counsel for the Respondents submits that the heirs of Respondents are represented through power of attorney holders who are present in Court. Learned counsel for the Appellant states that the power of attorney holder of the Appellant is present in Court. The consent terms are taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.

2 At the request of the learned counsel for the parties, the second appeal is disposed of in terms of the consent terms.

3 The impugned judgment and decree stands substituted in terms of the consent terms. No costs.

(N. M. JAMDAR, J.)