

vai

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.4470 OF 2015

Siddheshwar Shikshan Sanstha & Anr. ...Petitioners
V/s.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents

Mr.Prashant Bhavake for the Petitioners.
Mr.S.D. Rayrikar, AGP for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 -State.
Mr.Manoj Sawardekar i/b Mr.Pramod Kathane for the Respondent
Nos.11 & 13.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.2307 OF 2012
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.445 OF 2014
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2908 OF 2013

Arun B. Nangare ...Petitioner
V/s.
The School Tribunal Kolhapur & Ors. ...Respondents

Mr.Umesh Pawar for the Petitioner.
Ms.S.D. Rayrikar, AGP for the Respondent Nos.1 & 7 -State.
Mr.Prashant Bhavake for the Respondent Nos.2, 4 and 6.
Mr.Manoj Sawardekar i/b Mr.Pramod Kathane for the Respondent
Nos.3 & 5.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.2308 OF 2012
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.446 OF 2014
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO.2909 OF 2013

Sou.Saraswati A. Damame

...Petitioner

V/s.

The School Tribunal Kolhapur & Ors.

...Respondents

Mr.Umesh Pawar for the Petitioner.

Mr.S.D. Rayrikar, AGP for the respondent Nos.1 & 7 -State.

Mr.Prashant Bhavake for the Respondent Nos.2, 4 and 6.

Mr.Manoj Sawardekar i/b Mr.Pramod Kathane for the Respondent Nos.3 & 5.

CORAM : R.D. DHANUKA, J.

DATE : 6TH MAY, 2016.

P.C. :-

1. The papers are allowed to be produced at 3:00 p.m. for speaking to the minutes of the judgment and order dated 2nd May, 2016. Learned counsel appearing in Writ Petition No.4470 of 2015 has pointed out inadvertent errors crept in the said judgment and order. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection if such inadvertent errors as pointed out in the praecipe are corrected in the judgment and order dated 2nd May, 2016.

2. The following corrections are carried out :-

a). On page 4, 5th line the words "A/P Hatnur" are substituted with "A/P-Wadgaon".

b). On page 5 add the name of "Shri. Suryakant Rajaram Patil, Age – Adult, Occupation - Not Known, R/o. - AP Hatnur, Tal – Tasgaon, District – Sangli" as respondent no.8.

c). On page 6, 7th line the words "Respondent No.1" are substituted with "Respondent No.3".

- d). On page 6, 14th & 15th lines the words “Respondent Nos.1 & 2” are substituted with “Respondent Nos.5 & 6.”
- e). On page 6, 15th line the words “(Petitioners in Writ Petition No.4470/2015) are deleted.
- f). On page 6, 20th line the words “Appeal No.53 of 2011” are substituted with “Appeal No.52 of 2011”.
- g). On page 7, 5th line the word “Kolhapur” is substituted with “Sangli”.
- h). On page 8, 14th line the date “20th June” is substituted with “14th June”.
- i). On page 16, 9th line the date “14th July, 2010” is substituted with ‘24th July, 2010”.
- j). On page 25, 6th line the date “23rd September, 2010” is substituted with “14th June, 2010”.
- k). On page 26, 7th line the date “24th June, 2010” is substituted with “24th June, 2011”.
- l). On page 28, 6th line the words “Respondent Nos.4 to 8” are substituted with “Respondent Nos.9 & 10”.
- m). On page 37, 9th line the words “Respondent Nos.11 and 23” are substituted with “Respondent Nos.11 and 12”.
- n). On page 45, 14th line the number “2309” is substituted with “2308”.
- o). On page 46, 8th line the words “Respondent Nos.11 and 2” are substituted with “Respondent Nos.11 and 12”.
- p). On page 49, 8th line the number “710” is substituted with “719”.
- q). On page 53, 11th line the date “22nd February, 2013” is substituted with “22nd November, 2013”.
- r). On page 75, 16th line the words “Respondent Nos.2 to 6”

are substituted with “Respondent Nos.2 to 7”.

s). On page 75, 23rd line the words “Respondent Nos.2 to 6” are substituted with “Respondent Nos.2 to 7”.

3. The judgment and order dated 2nd May, 2016 stands corrected accordingly.

(R.D. DHANUKA, J.)