

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**  
**WRIT PETITION NO.2711 OF 2016**

|                                       |               |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Ajay H. Birajdar                      | ..Petitioner  |
| Versus                                |               |
| The State of Maharashtra              |               |
| Through the Principal Secretary,      |               |
| School Education & Sports Department, |               |
| Mantralaya, Mumbai and Ors.           | ..Respondents |

WITH  
WRIT PETITION NO.2888 OF 2016

|                                       |               |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Snehal C. Patil                       | ..Petitioner  |
| Versus                                |               |
| The State of Maharashtra              |               |
| Through the Principal Secretary,      |               |
| School Education & Sports Department, |               |
| Mantralaya, Mumbai and Ors.           | ..Respondents |

WITH  
WRIT PETITION NO.2889 OF 2016

|                                       |               |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Sangramsing P. Shelake                | ...Petitioner |
| Versus                                |               |
| The State of Maharashtra              |               |
| Through the Principal Secretary,      |               |
| School Education & Sports Department, |               |
| Mantralaya, Mumbai and Ors.           | ..Respondents |

Mr. P.V.Bhavake i/by Mr. A.M.Adagule , Advocates for the  
Petitioners.  
Mr. Vikas Mali , AGP for the State.  
Mr. S.R.Ganbhavale, Advocate for Respondent Nos.5 and 6.

**Coram : SHANTANU KEMKAR &  
RANJIT MORE, JJ.**

**Date : 25<sup>th</sup> APRIL, 2016.**

**P. C. :**

Parties through their counsel.

2           **Rule.** With consent of the parties, the matter is taken up for final hearing immediately.

3           Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having gone through the impugned order dated 22.1.2016 passed by the Education Officer, (Secondary) Zilla Parishad, Sangli, we find that the said authority has not applied its mind to the facts of case of each of the Petitioners and has passed the impugned order mechanically. The order also appears to be non-speaking order.

4           Having regard to the aforesaid, we are inclined to dispose of these petitions by setting aside the impugned order and by directing the said authority to pass reasoned order afresh after giving an opportunity of hearing to all the Petitioners individually in each case. The authority is expected to deal with all the grounds as may be raised by the Petitioners.

5           Petitioners to appear before the said authority on 5.5.2016. After hearing Petitioner in every case, the authority is expected to pass speaking order within three months from the date

of receipt of the copy of this order.  
C.C. as per Rules.

**[RANJIT MORE, J.]**

**[SHANTANU KEMKAR, J.]**