

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

SECOND APPEAL NO. 26 OF 2012

SHRI ISMAIL IBRAHIM PATHAN ... Appellant
Versus
SHRI MULLAM SHAIKH RASHID., ... Respondent

Mr. M. P. Almeida, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Sudesh Usgaonkar and Ms. R. Pereira, Advocates for the respondents.

Coram:- K. L. WADANE, J.

Date:- 18th March, 2016

P.C.

Heard Mr. M. P. Almeida, learned Advocate appearing for the appellant and Mr. Sudesh Usgaonkar, learned Advocate appearing for the respondents.

2. It is submitted by the learned Counsel appearing for the parties that the parties have amicably arrived at settlement. The appellant and the respondent who are present in this Court have signed the consent terms as well as their Advocates. The consent terms along with an undertaking given by the appellant are taken on record and marked "X" for identification.

3. Second Appeal stands disposed of, in terms of the aforesaid consent terms.

4. Decree to be drawn up accordingly in terms of the consent terms marked "X" for identification.

5. Appeal stands disposed of accordingly, with no order as to costs.

K. L. WADANE, J.

vn