

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 92 OF 2016

SHRI.SAVIO REGO AND 5 ORS., ... Petitioners
Versus
ASSTT.COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS,
MARMAGOA. ... Respondent

Shri Sahish Mahambrey, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. P. Kamat, Addl. Govt. Advocate for the respondent.

Coram:- F. M. REIS, J.

Date:- 23rd November, 2016

P.C.

Heard Shri S. Mahambrey, learned Advocate for the petitioners
and Ms. P. Kamat, learned Addl. Govt. Advocate for the respondent.

2. Upon hearing the learned Counsels, the main grievance of the
petitioners is that the petitioners cannot be personally made liable to
the penalty claimed by the respondent which was imposed on the
deceased father of the petitioner.

3. Ms. P. Kamat, learned Addl. Govt. Advocate has taken me
through the order passed by the learned Tribunal to point out that it
has been clearly observed that the recovery as against the legal
representatives of the deceased defaulter would be to the extent of the
properties inherited by the petitioners from the deceased defaulter
and not from their personal assets.

4. In view of the said statement of the learned Counsel appearing for
the respondent which basically clears the apprehension of the

petitioners, I find that, nothing survives in the above petition, which stands accordingly dismissed.

F. M. REIS, J.

vn