

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 876 OF 2016

SHRI. RAJENDRA S. SIDDARKAR., ... Petitioner
Versus
STATE DIRECTOR OF CRAFTSMEN
TRAINING, GOVT. OF GOA AND ANR., ... Respondents

Shri Devidas J. Pangam, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri S. Dhargalkar, Addl. Govt. Advocate for the respondents.

Coram:- F. M. REIS &
NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, JJ.

Date:- 26th October, 2016

P.C.

Heard Shri D. J. Pangam, learned Advocate for the petitioner and
Shri S. Dhargalkar, learned Addl. Govt. Advocate for the
respondents.

2. The petitioner is unnecessarily being forced to file the present
petition on account of some administrative infirmities which
apparently appear to be non-explained. A petition was filed by the
petitioner to be submitted to the Ombudsman through the respondent
no.1 who is the head of the Department. Instead of submitting the
petition to the Ombudsman, it was apparently returned to the
concerned Principal to be resubmitted through proper channel. It is
not disputed that ultimately the petition would have to be send to the
respondent no.1 in order that such petition be forwarded to the
Ombudsman.

3. In such circumstances, there is no justification to delay submitting such petition filed by the petitioner to the Ombudsman by the exercise carried out by the respondent no.1.
4. Hence, the respondent no.1 shall proceed to call for the said petition pending before the Principal and submit it to the Ombudsman in accordance with law within a period of four weeks.
5. Petition stands disposed off accordingly.

NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, J.

F. M. REIS, J.

vn