

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 663 OF 2016

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 134 OF 2016

M/S. PANDURANG TIMBLO INDUSTRIES,
REP. BY SARVESH P. TIMBLO, THR.
ITS CONST. ATT. MR. HARSHAD SAWKAR

... Applicant

Versus

SHRI. EVARISTO PEREIRA.

... Respondent

Ms. Neha Shirodkar, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. A. Costa, Advocate for the respondent nos.1(a,b,c,e & h)

Coram:- F. M. REIS, J.

Date:- 2nd December, 2016

P.C.

Heard.

2. This is an application to substitute the legal representatives of the deceased sole respondent.

3. The learned Counsel appearing for the respondents nos.1(a, b, c, e and h) seeks time to file reply to the condonation of delay.

4. The application to substitute the legal representatives is filed in a Writ Petition. In such circumstances, the question of seeking any condonation of delay to bring the legal representatives on record would not arise. In fact, this is an application to substitute the deceased respondent with his legal representatives. Apart from that, records reveal that the petition was filed in ignorance of the death of the respondent. Hence, the application is allowed. The legal

representatives mentioned in the application are ordered to be substituted as the legal representatives of the deceased respondent.

Amendment to be carried out within two weeks.

5. The application stands disposed off accordingly.

F. M. REIS, J.

vn