

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 731 OF 2016

SHRI. WILLIAM REMIDIOS JUDE DA
CUNHA GOMES @ WILLIAM REMIDIOS
GOMES AND ANR.

... Petitioners

Versus

THE ADDITIONAL COLLECTOR II, SOUTH
GOA DISTRICT, MARGAO AND ANR.

... Respondents

Mr. Vilas P. Thali, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Mr. S. D. Lotlikar, Advocate General with Ms. P. Kalangutkar, Addl.
Government Advocate for the Respondents.

Coram:- F. M. REIS &
NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, JJ.

Date:- 2nd August, 2016

P.C.

Heard Shri V. P. Thali, learned Counsel appearing for the
Petitioners and Shri S. D. Lotlikar, learned Advocate General
appearing for the Respondents.

2. Looking into the relief sought in the Petition, we find that the
question of entertaining the above Petition under Article 226 of the
Constitution of India would not be justified.

3. Needless to say, any pending proceedings as contended by the
Petitioners, shall be disposed of as expeditiously as possible in
accordance with law. Such proceedings are expedited and directed to
be disposed within one year.

4. Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, J.

F. M. REIS, J.

arp/*