

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 821 OF 2015

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 855 OF 2009

M/S. V. M. SALGAOCAR AND BRO PVT.
LTD.,

... Applicant

Versus

SEA SCAN MARINE SERVICES PVT. LTD
AND ANR.,

... Respondents

Mr. Jitendra P. Supekar, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr.M. Salkar, Advocate for respondent nos. 1 and 2.

Mr. S.D. Lotlikar, Sr. Advocate with Mr. C. Padgaonkar, Advocate for original petitioners.

Coram:- F. M. REIS &
K. L. WADANE, JJ.

Date:- 11th January, 2016

P.C.

Heard Mr. Supekar, learned Advocate appearing for the applicant and Mr.S.D.Lotlikar, learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioners.

This is an application filed by the applicant inter alia contending that during the pendency of the above petition, the subject Vessel was disposed of and the purchaser be accordingly substituted. Mr. Lotlikar, learned Senior Advocate opposes the said application and according to him, question of substitution would not arise as the petition has been filed when the applicant was admittedly not the owner of the subject vessel.

In the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case and considering

the relief sought in the petition, the question of seeking substitution the name of the applicant, at this stage, will not be appropriate.

The application stands accordingly disposed of.

K. L. WADANE, J.

F. M. REIS, J.

mukund