

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 106 OF 2016

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 624 OF 2015

SMT. SHASHIKALA P. KAKODKAR, REP.
BY ATTORNEY SHRI EKNATH P. PRABHU
GAONKAR AND 5 ORS.

... Applicant

Versus

STATE OF GOA, THROUGH ITS CHIEF
SECRETARY AND ANR.

... Respondent

Mr. Nitin Sardesai, Senior Advocate with Mr. Deep D. Shirodkar,
Advocate for the applicant.
Mr. D. Lawande, Govt. Advocate for the respondents.

Coram:- F. M. REIS &
NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, JJ.

Date:- 21st June, 2016

P.C.:

Mr. Lawande, learned Govt. Advocate seeks time to file
reply.

2. Mr. Nitin Sardesai, learned Senior Counsel appearing for
the applicant points out that the petition has been filed essentially on
the premise that the subject-acquisition has lapsed. It is further
pointed out that despite of that, the respondents are changing the
status quo of the subject-property by cutting trees. Mr. D. Lawande,
learned Govt. Advocate, however, upon instructions, submits that the
status quo is not being changed at present.

3. In view of the said statement, which is accepted, there is no need of any further ad interim relief. Application stands disposed of. Liberty to the applicant to apply.

NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, J.

F. M. REIS, J.

ssm.