

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

**WRIT PETITION NO. 923 OF 2016
AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 924 2016**

WRIT PETITION NO. 923 OF 2016

SHRI. SANTOSH RATANJI RAMNATHKAR., ... Petitioner

Versus

**SHRI. SURENDRA V. S. BARAD (DEC)
THR. HIS LRS.,**

... Respondent

WRIT PETITION NO. 924 OF 2016

**SHRI. SANTOSH RATANJI RAMNATHKAR., ... Petitioner
Versus**

**SHRI. SURENDRA V. S. BARAD (DEC)
THR. HIS LRS.,**

... Respondent

Mr. Sandesh D. Padiyar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

**Coram:- C. V. BHADANG, J.
Date:- 24th October, 2016.**

Oral Order:

Both these petitions arise out of the common judgment in Rent Appeal No.2/2013 and Rent Appeal No.28/2013 on the file of the learned District Judge at Margao. By the

impugned judgment, the matter has been remanded back to the learned Civil Judge Junior Division at Margao for deciding the rent case on merits in accordance with law.

2. After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner for sometime the learned counsel, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw the writ petitions with a request to expedite the proceedings before the Rent Controller.

3. It appears from the record that the initial application for eviction was filed by the petitioner sometime in the year 1999. In such circumstances, it would be appropriate if the application is disposed of expeditiously. In the result both the writ petitions are disposed of as withdrawn. The learned trial Court shall decide the application filed by the petitioner as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of one year from the receipt of this order. Needless to mention that the learned trial Court shall decide the application on its own merits and without being influenced by any of the observations made by the learned District Judge in the impugned judgment. Parties to co operate for time bound

disposal of the matter.

C. V. BHADANG, J.

ap/-