

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (MAIN) NO. 68 OF 2016

MS. SHAHIN SHAIKH .

... Applicant

Versus

STATE OF GOA. THR. P.P. AND 2
ORS.,

... Respondents

Ms. Winnie Coutinho and Mr. W. Menezes, Advocates for the applicant.

Mr. S. R. Rivankar, Public Prosecutor for the respondent nos.1 and 2.

Coram:- C. V. BHADANG, J.

Date:- 20th April, 2016

P.C.

Heard the learned Counsel for the applicant and the learned Public Prosecutor for the respondent nos.1 and 2.

2. After hearing the parties for some time, it transpires that the investigation is at the fag end.

3. The learned Public Prosecutor, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, who is present before the Court, states that the Chargesheet/ Final Report is likely to be filed within a period of one month. Learned Public Prosecutor, on instructions, states that there are no allegations of non-compliance with the conditions of the bail or its misuse. The learned Public Prosecutor also points out that the passport of the third respondent has been seized.

4. In this situation, the learned Counsel for the applicant, on instructions, seeks permission to withdraw the Criminal Application with only rider that the observations of the learned Sessions Judge in

para 4(d) of the order should not come in the way of the applicant at the trial, as according to her, the observations cast a stigma on the applicant.

5. It is evident that the observations at the stage of consideration of the application for bail are only prima facie observations and the Court will not be influenced by the same at the trial if at all the matter lands up for trial before the Competent Court.

6. With this, the Criminal Application is disposed of as withdrawn.

C. V. BHADANG, J.

SMA