

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 307 OF 2016

MR. ABHISHEK MISHRA.

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF GOA, THROUGH ITS CHIEF
SECRETARY AND 2 ORS.,

... Respondents

Shri Kapil D. Kerkar, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri P.A. Kamat, Advocate for Respondent No.2.
Shri A. Prabhudessai, Additional Government Advocate for
Respondents No.1 & 3.

Coram:- F. M. REIS &
NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, JJ.

Date:- 27th April, 2016

P.C.:

Heard Shri K. Kerkar, the learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner, Shri P.A. Kamat, the learned Counsel appearing for the respondent no.2 and Shri A. Prabhudessai, the learned Additional Government Advocate appearing for the respondents no.1 & 3.

2. During the course of the hearing of the above petition, Shri P.A. Kamat, the learned Counsel appearing for the respondent no.2/Local Panchayat, upon instructions, states that the question of implementing the subject order referred to in the above petition would not arise as according to him respondent no.2 has preferred a revision against such order before the learned Additional District Judge wherein implementation of the subject order dated 15/10/2015

is stayed.

3. Accepting the said statement, we find that the question of granting any relief in the present petition, at this stage, would not arise. All contentions of the petitioner are left open. Petition stands disposed of.

NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, J.

F. M. REIS, J.

NH