

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION NO. 38 OF 2016

IN

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (BAIL) NO. 165 OF 2015

SHRI. PRATHMESH PRASAD SAWANT.

... Applicant

Versus

STATE, (THROUGH PI ATTACHED TO
QUEPEM POLICE STATION) AND ANR.,

... Respondents

Mr. Arjun F. Naik, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. Mahesh Amonkar, Additional Public Prosecutor for the
Respondents.

Coram:- S. B. SHUKRE, J.

Date:- 22nd February, 2016

P.C:

Heard learned Counsel for the applicant and learned Additional
Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor states that no
inconvenience is going to be caused even if, condition no. (C) is not
modified. However, I find that the purpose of order passed on
10.08.2015 having been already served, there would be no difficulty
in modifying condition (C) of the order.

3. Accordingly, condition (C) is modified. It is directed that the
applicant, instead of attending the office of the I.O. once in a week on
every Monday, now shall attend the office of the I.O. as and when
required by the I.O. and shall co-operate with the investigating

agency.

4. Application is disposed of.

S. B. SHUKRE, J.

EV