

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 205 OF 2016

JYOTI KAMAT MANGESHKER. ... Petitioner
Versus
ORIENTAL INSURANCE COMPANY LTD., ... Respondent

Shri Hrudaynath S. Shirodkar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Shri Emerico Estevam Afonso, Advocate for the respondent.

Coram:- F. M. REIS &
NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, JJ.

Date:- 13th July, 2016

P.C.

Heard Shri H. Shirodkar, learned Advocate for the petitioner and
Shri E. Afonso, learned Advocate for the respondent.

2. The above petition takes exception to the transfer order issued by
the respondent to the petitioner in the year 2012.

3. It is pointed out that the petitioner has been moving different
authorities to challenge the said transfer order and ultimately the
petitioner even reported for duties in Bombay. It is undisputed that
the petitioner is due for retirement in this month and as such the
challenge to the transfer order becomes academic for consideration at
this stage. The learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner pointed
out that the petitioner has not been paid any salary or any other
benefits as they has been withheld.

4. Be that as it may, as far as this aspect is concerned the petitioner
has not prayed nor grievance raised in the present petition.

5. Hence, the petitioner is at liberty to file a representation with regard to the grievances in connection with the non payment of the salary and other benefits and in case such a representation is made, the respondent shall examine the representation on its own merits, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible and in any even within four weeks from the date of the receipt of such representation.
6. With the aforesaid observations, petition stands disposed off.

NUTAN D. SARDESSAI, J.

F. M. REIS, J.

vn