

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 79 OF 2015

SHRI V. VEERAJU.

... Petitioner

Versus

THE BANKING OMBUDSMAN, THROUGH
RESERVE BANK OF INDIA AND 4 ORS.,

... Respondents

Mr. Prashil Arolkar, Advocate under Legal Aid for the Petitioner.
Mr. J.P. Mulgaonkar, Advocate for Respondent No. 2.

Coram:- C. V. BHADANG, J.

Date:- 27th June, 2016

P.C:

The learned Counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner who is present before the Court, seeks permission to withdraw the petition as the petitioner intends to take recourse to appropriate remedy under the Banking Ombudsman Scheme or any other remedy, if available in law.

2. The petition is disposed of as withdrawn. Needless to mention that if, the petitioner has any such remedy, he would be at liberty to avail the same. However, this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of any such remedy, if available. All contentions of the parties are left open.

C. V. BHADANG, J.

EV