

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.1654 OF 2015

Vijay Kanhoji Sirsat ..Petitioner

Versus

Maya Vijay Sirsat ..Respondent

--

Mr.V.P.Sawant, advocate for petitioner

--

CORAM : M.T. JOSHI, J.

DATE : FEBRUARY 09, 2016

PER COURT :

Heard.

2] It appears that present petitioner had remained absent before the learned Judicial Magistrate F.C. and therefore, the order directing to pay the maintenance, came to be passed. Against that order, the petitioner had preferred a Revision petition before the Sessions Court. The learned Addl. Sessions Judge found that no illegality was committed by the learned Judicial

Magistrate F.C. and therefore, the revision petition also came to be dismissed.

3] Upon hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and considering the material on record, in my view, there is no force in the present Criminal Writ Petition. The same is, therefore, dismissed.

4] The petitioner would be at liberty to prefer an application before the learned Judicial Magistrate F.C., for setting aside the *ex-parte* order, which application may be decided on its own merit by the learned Judicial Magistrate F.C.

[M.T. JOSHI, J.]