

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 1634 OF 2015
(Pandharinath Ganpat Rathod Vs. The State of
Maharashtra)

Mr. Suvidh S. Kulkarni, Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. A.R. Borulkar, A.P.P. for the respondent/State

CORAM : S.S. SHINDE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : 21st October, 2016

PER COURT :

Heard.

2. On perusal of the FIR, we prima facie find that the ingredients of the offences alleged against the petitioner have been disclosed in the FIR.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was present in the school and there was no question of his presence at the place of incident. This plea can be taken by way of defence in the trial Court and not before this Court. No case is made out for quashing the FIR. In the circumstances, the criminal writ petition stands rejected.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL]
JUDGE

[S.S. SHINDE]
JUDGE