

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 12616 OF 2016
(Nanda Nana Kamble and others Vs. The State of
Maharashtra and another)

Mr. Angad L. Kanade, Advocate for the Petitioners
Mr. M.M. Nerlikar, A.G.P. for the respondent/State
Mr. S.V. Kurundkar, Advocate for respondent No. 2

CORAM : R.M. BORDE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : 22nd December, 2016

PER COURT :

Heard.

2. The petitioners are admittedly encroachers over the property and do not have any legal right to remain in occupation of the premises.

3. The learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 2, on instructions, states that the encroachment raised by the petitioners has already been removed in accordance with the procedure laid down in law. In that view of the matter, nothing survives in this petition. Keeping the option of the petitioners open to avail of the remedies available in law, if any, the Writ Petition stands disposed of.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL]
JUDGE

[R.M. BORDE]
JUDGE