

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 479 OF 2016

**JANABAI CHANDU PAWAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

--

Mr.M.P.Kale, advocate for petitioner

Mr.V.S.Badakh, , AGP for respondent nos.1 to 3

--

**CORAM : S.S. SHINDE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : APRIL 15, 2016**

PER COURT :

Heard.

2] By way of filing this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner is seeking directions to respondent nos.2 and 3 not to terminate the services of the petitioner and to consider the petitioner on the post of A.N.M. on ad-hoc basis without continuity in service and without other service benefits.

3] The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the prayer of the petitioner is that she may not be replaced by another ad-hoc employee and her services may be continued till the regular selection process is undertaken by the respondents.

4] The learned counsel appearing for respondent nos.2 and 3 has tendered across the Bar the order of appointment of Smt. Sangita Suryakant Gore on regular basis in the same post which is previously occupied by the petitioner.

5] In that view of the matter, we are unable to persuade ourselves to grant any relief to the present petitioner. The Writ Petition stands rejected.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J.]

[S.S. SHINDE, J.]