

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
WRIT PETITION NO.2526 OF 2016

Shivaji s/o Narayan Veer

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others

Respondents

Mr.S.M.Pandit, advocate for the petitioner

Mr.A.S.Shinde, A.G.P. for Respondents No.1 to 4.

**CORAM : R.M.BORDE &
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : 07th December, 2016**

PER COURT:

Perused the petition as well as affidavit-in-reply presented by Respondent No.4.

The dispute appears to be of a civil nature and in order to decide the controversy, fact finding exercise needs to be undertaken. Apart from this, there is an efficacious alternate remedy available to the petitioner for redressal of his grievance.

For the reasons recorded above, instant petition, presented on behalf of the petitioner, need not be entertained in exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

Keeping open an option to the petitioner to avail of alternate remedy available in law, petition stands disposed of.

**(SANGITRAO S. PATIL)
JUDGE**

**(R.M.BORDE)
JUDGE**

adb/wp252616