

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**REVIEW APPLICATION (CIVIL) NO. 02 OF 2016
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 10712 OF 2012**

Padmakar Bhojraj Chaudhari,
R/o. Mhaiswadi, Tal. Yawal,
Dist. Jalgaon.

...Applicant

versus

The State of Maharashtra & ors.

...Respondents

.....
Mr. Girish Nagori, Advocate for applicant
Ms. R.P. Gour, A.P.P. for respondents/State
.....

CORAM : N.W. SAMBRE, J.

DATE : 10th JUNE, 2016

ORAL ORDER :

Respondent No.5 though served, none appears.

2. In view of law laid down by the Full Bench of this Court in the matter of ***Tatyasaheb Ramchandra Kale vs. Navnath Tukaram Kakde and others*** reported in ***2014(6) Mh.L.J. 804*** holding that the requirement under Rule 17 of the Bombay Village Panchayats (Meetings) Rules, 1959 in the matter of proposing and seconding of motion since held to be directory, the judgment dated 04/12/2015 passed in Writ Petition No. 11678 of 2015 is hereby set aside. Writ Petition No. 11678 of 2015 stands restored to the file and be placed

before the Court assigned with the Writ Petitions as per present roster for further consideration.

3. Review Application stands allowed in above terms.

[N.W. SAMBRE, J.]