

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 11662 OF 2015
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16307 OF 2015

Sadashiv S/o. Tukaram Sanap & Anr.

Petitioners..

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

Respondents..

.....
Mr A. N. Nagargoje, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr N. B. Patil, AGP for respondent/State
Mr S. S. Thombre, Advocate for intervenor in
Civil Application No. 16307/2015
.....

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 16307 OF 2015

CORAM : **S. S. SHINDE &
V. L. ACHLIYA, JJ.**

DATE : 18TH MARCH, 2016.

PER COURT:

. In pursuance of the notices issued, respondent No. 3 - Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Beed, has filed affidavit-in-reply. In para 5 thereof, it has been stated that, by order dated 03.12.2015, respondent No. 3 has appointed three different Inquiry

Officers to inquire into the allegations made by the petitioners in their representation.

2. In view of the statement made by respondent No. 3 in para 5 of his affidavit-in-reply, we do not propose to go into the merits of the matter. However, we hope and expect that the inquiry initiated should be completed as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of eight weeks from today.

3. Writ Petition stands disposed of accordingly. It is made clear that, we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the matter.

4. In view of disposal of main petition, application for intervention no more survives and same stands disposed of.

5. Parties to act on authenticated copy of this order.

[V. L. ACHLIYA, J.]

[S. S. SHINDE, J.]