

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

WRIT PETITION NO. 12124 OF 2015

ANUPAMA VIRESH NAINWAD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Panpatte V.S.
AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. U. H. Bhogle

...
CORAM : S.S. SHINDE & P.R. BORA, JJ.

Dated: February 16, 2016

...
PER COURT :-

Heard.

2. The limited grievance is raised by the petitioner in this Petition is that, though the proposal routed through Respondent No.6 and forwarded to Respondent No.4, is pending with the Respondent No.2, the decision is not taken by the Respondent no.2 on the said proposal.

3. We direct the Respondent No.2 to take decision on the said proposal, if already not taken, as expeditiously as possible, and preferably within six weeks from today and communicate the said order to the petitioner and also to the Respondent No.6.

4. The Petition is disposed of in above terms.

5. The parties to act upon an authenticated copy of this order.

(**P.R. BORA, J.)**

(**S.S. SHINDE, J.)**