

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 4700 OF 2011

Ramrao Sheshrao Nikam and others .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri R. V. Gore, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri A. P. Basarkar, A.G.P. for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
A. M. BADAR, JJ.**

DATE : 04TH JANUARY, 2016.

PER COURT :

. Heard Mr. Gore, the learned counsel for the petitioner. The sugar is purportedly sold under the provisions of the Securitization Act. It would not be appropriate to exercise the jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. The petitioners can raise their claim at an appropriate stage in an appropriate manner.

2. The writ petition as such is disposed of. No costs.

[A. M. BADAR, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]