

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 11889 OF 2015

Chandraprabhu s/o Bhikaji Husse
& ors.

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & anr.

..RESPONDENTS

Mr P.K. Joshi, Advocate h/f Mr V. S. Khairnar,
Advocate for petitioners;
Mrs M. A. Deshpande, A. G. P. for respondent No. 1;
Mr R. L. Chintalwar, Advocate for respondent No. 2

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
N.W. SAMBRE, JJ.**

DATE : 14th SEPTEMBER, 2016

ORAL ORDER :

We have heard Mr Joshi, learned Counsel
for the petitioners, learned A.G.P. so also Mr
Chintalwar, learned Counsel for respondent No. 2.

2. Learned A.G.P. and Mr Chintalwar, learned
Counsel for respondent No. 2 raised preliminary
objection that the petitioner has remedy under
Industrial Disputes Act.

(2)

3. In view of that though Mr Joshi, learned Counsel for petitioners sought to canvass the submissions on merits, we are not inclined to consider the same.

4. The writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to avail alternate remedy. The contentions of the respective parties are kept open. The Forum, where the proceedings will be filed, shall consider the time spent for prosecuting the present proceedings. No costs.

(N.W. SAMBRE, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)

Tupe