

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 11489 OF 2015  
IN FAST/34827/2014 WITH CA/11487/2015 IN FAST/35035/2014 WITH  
CA/11488/2015 IN FAST/35033/2014 WITH CA/11490/2015 IN  
FAST/35031/2014 WITH CA NO. 11730 OF 2015  
IN FAST/34818/2014

ASHOK MAHADEO NIRWAL  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...  
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Bhise Vitthal D.  
AGP for Respondents 1 and 2: Mr. A.M. Phule  
Advocate for respondent No.3: Mrs. Ranjana Reddy  
.....

**CORAM : V. K. JADHAV, J.**  
**DATED : 8<sup>th</sup> JULY, 2016**

**PER COURT:-**

1. Heard both sides.
2. In view of the submissions made by both the sides and the contentions raised in the civil applications, I am of the opinion that sufficient cause is shown to condone the delay. However, there will be question of granting interest in respect of the delayed period. Learned counsel for the original claimants undertakes that the original claimants will not claim interest in respect of this period 1014 days if the compensation amount is enhanced in the appeal.
3. In the light of above, applications are allowed. Delay

condoned. The original claimants shall file undertaking to the effect that they will not claim interest in respect of 1014 days i.e. delayed period. Civil applications are disposed of.

4. In first appeals, issue notice to the respondents.

5. Learned A.G.P. waives notice for respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Mrs. Reddy, learned counsel, waives notice for respondent No.3.

6. Call for record and proceedings.

**( V. K. JADHAV, J.)**

rlj/