

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 723 OF 2015
IN
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO. 05 OF 2014**

Deepak Ganu Ikhare .. Petitioner

Versus

Ramesh Punjaji Thorat and another .. Respondents

Shri Pradeep K. Palave, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri Sachin S. Panale, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
K. K. SONAWANE, JJ.**

DATE : 27TH APRIL, 2016.

PER COURT :

. Mr. Palave, the learned counsel submits that in appeal there was compromise between the parties and the respondents are not obeying all the terms of the compromise. The custody of minor is not given to the petitioner as agreed in the terms of settlement.

2. Even the Family Court Appeal is disposed of in terms of settlement. The same would tantamount the compromise decree. The petitioner has a remedy of executing the compromise decree. The petitioner is at liberty to prosecute the same.

3. In the light of that, the present contempt petition would not be tenable. The contempt petition is disposed of. No costs.

[K. K. SONAWANE, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/April 16