

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 11369 OF 2016

Komal Sunil Patil

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

Rashtriya Sahkari Shikshan
Prasarak Mandal Ltd.
Chalisgaon
& others

.. RESPONDENTS

Mr. P.B. Patil, advocate for petitioner.
Mr. M.M. Nerlikar, AGP for the State.

=====

**CORAM : R.M. BORDE &
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.
DATE : 21st NOVEMBER, 2016.**

PER COURT :

Petitioner is praying for issuance of directions to respondents to issue order of appointment appointing her as permanent teacher. Petitioner contends that similarly situate candidates who also participated in the process of selection alongwith petitioner have been considered for permanent appointment and that petitioner has been discriminated. Petitioner having accepted the order of appointment as a temporary employee and having continued in employment for six months and three months on leave vacancy, cannot be permitted to challenge the action of respondents. Petitioner claims employment in an institution operated by private management. Petitioner does not have any entitlement to claim employment as a matter of right on the basis of process of selection initiated in the year 2011. In this view of the matter, request made by petitioner for issuance of directions to the private management need not be considered in

exercise of extra ordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Petition is devoid of substance hence stands rejected.

(SANGITRAO S. PATIL)
JUDGE

(R. M. BORDE)
JUDGE

dyb