

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 6211 OF 2015

Abhinav Laxman Patil & Ors.

APPLICANTS

V E R S U S

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

RESPONDENTS

Mr. V.P. Patil, Advocate for the Applicants
Mrs. R.K. Ladda, A.P.P. for Respondent No.1 - State
Mr. S.A. Gaikwad, Advocate, holding for
Mr. M.G. Patil, Advocate for Respondent No.2

**CORAM : A.V. NIRGUDE &
INDIRA K. JAIN, JJ.**

DATE : 21st March, 2016

PER COURT :

1. Learned counsel for the applicants withdrew this application to the extent of applicant nos. 1 to 3. He pressed this application seeking quashing of F.I.R. and complaint against applicant nos. 4 to 9 who are related to accused no.1 / husband, but, admittedly, do not stay with applicant no.1 and his immediate family. The complainant herself stated that she stayed with applicant nos. 1 to 3. She suffered husband over demands of dowry mainly from accused nos. 1 to 3. There is hardly any material against applicant nos. 4 to 9 since they do not stay at Chopda where incident took place. We are inclined to allow this application to the

extent of applicant nos. 4 to 9. In view of this, application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause '**B**'.

(INDIRA K. JAIN, J.)

(A.V. NIRGUDE, J.)

srm/21/3/16