

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 6056 OF 2016
(Kiran s/o Sahebrao Rathod Vs. The State of Maharashtra
and another)

Mr. Sushant B. Choudhari, Advocate for the applicant
Mr. S.B. Joshi, A.P.P. for the respondent/State

CORAM : S.S. SHINDE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : 27th October, 2016

PER COURT :

Heard the learned counsel for the applicant.
Perused the allegations in the FIR.

2. The contention of the learned counsel for the applicant that the informant and the applicant are ready for the amicable settlement and therefore, the FIR may be quashed, cannot be considered. The incidents, as alleged, have the impact on the society at large and therefore, it is not proper to consider the prayer for quashing the FIR on the basis of the proposed amicable settlement. The said prayer stands rejected. We are also not inclined to entertain this application on merits since the allegations in the FIR clearly spell out the ingredients of the offences alleged against the

applicant.

3. At this stage, the learned counsel for the applicant, on instructions, seeks liberty to withdraw the application. The criminal application is dismissed as withdrawn.

4. We make it clear that the observations made hereinabove are prima facie in nature and the trial Court should not get itself influenced by the said observations.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL]
JUDGE

[S.S. SHINDE]
JUDGE

npj/criapln6056-2016