

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 11112 OF 2015

Varad Advertising CIDCO through
its Proprietor .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and another .. Respondents

Shri S. S. Thombre, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri V. D. Rakh, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
A. M. BADAR, JJ.**

DATE : 08TH FEBRUARY, 2016.

PER COURT :

. The learned Assistant Government Pleader states that, he has received communication from the respondents stating that the tender process, conditions of which are under challenge in the present writ petition has already been cancelled and re-tendering process is to be conducted.

2. We have heard Mr. Thombre, the learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. In view of the statement made by the learned A. G. P. that tenders in question in the present writ petition have been

cancelled and it has been directed to call re-tender, the challenge made in the petition does not survive. The writ petition as such is disposed of. No costs.

4. In case re-tender is issued and the petitioner is aggrieved by any condition, it would be open for the petitioner to assail the same.

[A. M. BADAR, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/Feb. 16