

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 11587 OF 2014

Sukesh Nana Lavate

.. Petitioner

Versus

The Additional Commissioner
Aurangabad and others

.. Respondents

Shri Hanmant V. Patil, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri A. G. Magare, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

Shri B. B. Bhise, Advocate for the Respondent No. 3.

Shri R. D. Dhaware, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.

DATE : 22ND MARCH, 2016.

PER COURT :

. Mr. Patil, the learned counsel for the petitioner states that, he has written instructions to withdraw the writ petition and submits that, the amount directed by this Court and deposited in the Court be allowed to be withdrawn.

2. The learned counsel for respondents opposes the request for withdrawal of amount.

3. The request for withdrawal is made after the term of the petitioner is over. The said withdrawal does not appear to be

bonafide one.

4. In the light of that, I allow the request for withdrawal of petition subject to payment of cost of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten thousands only). The said amount shall be paid by the petitioner with the Legal Aid Sub Committee, Aurangabad and on submitting receipt of the same, he is allowed to withdraw the amount deposited in this Court.

5. The respondent authorities are entitled to take up appropriate proceedings, if they choose to with regard to recovery or otherwise against the petitioner. The writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/March 16