

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

914 WRIT PETITION NO. 10887 OF 2016

JYOTI BALU SARANG
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr.T.M. Venjane
AGP for Respondents:Mr. P.S. Patil

CORAM : R. M. BORDE & K. K. SONAWANE, JJ.
Date: October 26, 2016

PER COURT :-

1 Leave to delete the name of respondent No.3.

2 The petitioner contends that, she is appointed within the sanctioned strength as prescribed by the respondents. However, the proposal for according approval has not been considered by respondent No.2.

3 In the facts of the case, this petition stands disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to take decision on the proposal tendered by the institution for according approval to the appointment of the petitioner on its own merit, as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of four months from today. In the meanwhile, no coercive action be taken against the petitioner.

4 Petition is disposed of.

(K. K. SONAWANE, J.)

(R. M. BORDE, J.)