

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

REVIEW APPLICATION NO. 158 OF 2015
IN
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 279 OF 2015

Bhaskar Suka Patil and ors. ...Petitioners

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & ors. ...Respondents

.....

Shri M.S.Kulkarni, advocate for petitioners
Smt. M.A.Deshpande, A.G.P. for respondent no.1
Shri V.D.Gunale, advocate for respondent no.3

.....

CORAM : S.S.SHINDE
AND
SANGITRAO S.PATIL, JJ.

DATED : 21st JULY, 2016

PER COURT :-

Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks
leave to add the name of present Commissioner in
the description of respondents. Leave granted.
Amendment to be carried out forthwith.

2. It appears that relying upon the statement made by the counsel appointed for respondent/Corporation that the Corporation has complied with the directions of which disobedience was alleged, the Contempt Petition was disposed of.

3. Admittedly, as on today also the interest amount is not paid by the respondent/Corporation. In that view of the matter, the statement made by the advocate for the Corporation, on instructions, and also affidavit filed by the respondent was not the correct position about the compliance of the directions/orders of which disobedience was alleged in the Contempt Petition.

4. The Review Application is, therefore, allowed. Contempt Petition No. 279 of 2015 is restored to its original file.

(SANGITRAO S.PATIL,J.) (S.S.SHINDE,J.)
dbm/ra158.15

