

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 11695 OF 2016
(Lalita Naranrao Bolam Versus The State Of Maharashtra
and Others)
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.11696 OF 2016
(Shashikala Nagnath Muke Vs. The State of Maharashtra
and others)
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 11697 OF 2016
(Santosh Sharanappa Mogalekar Vs. The State of
Maharashtra and others)
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.11698 OF 2016
(Sanjay Narsingrao Siddewad Vs. The State of Maharashtra
and others)
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.11699 OF 2016
(Ulhas s/o Namdeorao Karhad Vs. The State of Maharashtra
and others)
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.11700 OF 2016
(Maruti Ramchandra Tokwade Vs. The State of Maharashtra
and others)
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.11701 OF 2016
(Sow. Jemuna Dyanoba Malshetwar Vs. The State of
Maharashtra and others)
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.11702 OF 2016
(Maruti Gangaram Niture Vs. The State of Maharashtra and
others)
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.11703 OF 2016
(Sangram Babrao Dhage Vs. The State of Maharashtra and
others)
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.11704 OF 2016
(Shivaji Ramchandra More Vs. The State of Maharashtra
and others)
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.11705 OF 2016
(Shakuntla Ramchandra Doibale Vs. The State of
Maharashtra and others)

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.11706 OF 2016
(Lavanya Sambhaji Khandre Vs. The State of Maharashtra
and others)
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.11707 OF 2016
(Sarubai Bhanudas Magar Vs. The State of Maharashtra and
others)
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.11708 OF 2016
(Balaji Nagorao Gudle Vs. The State of Maharashtra and
others)
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.11709 OF 2016
(Sunil Bhojram Rathod Vs. The State of Maharashtra and
others)
WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.11710 OF 2016
(Ananda Kishanrao Patil Vs. The State of Maharashtra and
others)

Mr.Santosh C. Bhosle, Advocate for the Petitioner/s
in all the writ petitions
Mr.M.M. Nerlikar, A.G.P. for respondent nos. 1 and 2
in all the writ petitions
Mr.S.B. Pulkundwar, Advocate for respondent no. 3
in all writ petitions

CORAM : R.M. BORDE AND
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ.

DATE : 28th November, 2016

PER COURT :

Heard.

2. These petitions can be disposed of by issuing
directions to the Divisional Commissioner, Aurangabad
Division, Aurangabad, to take decision on the

representations tendered by the petitioners, on their own merit and in accordance with the policy concerning the transfer of the employees as well as on consideration of the merit of the claim of the respective petitioners, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of four months from today and it is accordingly directed.

3. It is clarified that this Court has not expressed any opinion on merit of the claim of the petitioners and it would be open for the Divisional Commissioner, Aurangabad to take suitable decision on the representations of the petitioners, as directed above.

4. All the Writ Petitions stand disposed of.

[SANGITRAO S. PATIL]
JUDGE

[R.M. BORDE]
JUDGE

npj/wp11695-2016+