

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

Office Memoranda of appearance, directions and Registrar's orders.	Notes, of Court's orders or	Office Coram, Court's or Judge's orders.
--	-----------------------------	--

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 15537 OF 2015
IN FAST/31906/2015

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, MEDIUM PROJECT DIVISION,
JALGAON
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 15540 OF 2015
IN FAST/31926/2015

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, MEDIUM PROJECT DIVISION,
JALGAON
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 15542 OF 2015
IN FAST/31900/2015

WITH

CA/15544/2015 IN FAST/32125/2015

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, MEDIUM PROJECT DIVISION,
JALGAON
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 15559 OF 2015
IN FAST/31936/2015

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, UPPER TAPI PROJECT, HATNOOR-3,

JALGAON
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 15561 OF 2015
IN FAST/31933/2015

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, MEDIUM PROJECT DIVISION,
JALGAON
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 15563 OF 2015
IN FAST/31930/2015

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, MEDIUM PROJECT DIVISION,
JALGAON
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

AND

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 15571 OF 2015
IN FAST/31824/2015

EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, HIVRA MEDIUM PROJECT, JALGAON
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. S. D. Shelke .
AGP for Respondent / State : Ms. R. P. Gaur.
@@@

CORAM: T. V. NALAWADE, J.
DATED: 24th FEBRUARY, 2016.

PER COURT:

1. Heard learned counsel for the acquiring body. Most of the Respondents are served, though by fixing notice on the doors of their residential places. It appears that in one matter the Panchanama is not made when the notice was fixed on the door of the residential place. They are from same family and they are male persons.
2. In view of the contents of the applications and the submissions made that some time was consumed for collecting certified copies, some time was consumed for processing the matters and some time was consumed for getting sanctions at various levels, this Court holds that sufficient cause is shown.
3. In the result, all the applications are allowed. Delay condoned.

(T. V. NALAWADE, J.)

Dt.24/02/2016.
ans/15537