

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.11546 OF 2016

Vijay Asaram Salve Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITIOIN NO.11547 OF 2016

Smt. Hausabaiw/o Uttam Jadhav Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITIOIN NO.11548 OF 2016

Anil S/o Abbarao Bidkar Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITIOIN NO.11557 OF 2016

Sanjay S/o Sandu Surase Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others Respondents

WITH

WRIT PETITIOIN NO.11558 OF 2016

Syed Jalil S/o Syed Jamal Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others Respondents

WITH
WRIT PETITIOIN NO.11559 OF 2016

Kailash S/o Bhaskar Raut

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

Respondents

Mr.P.A. Kulkarni advocate for the petitioners
Mr.S.B. Joshi, Mr. S.J. Salgare, Mr. K.D. Mundhe, Mr. M.M. Nerlikar,
Mr. S.B. Pulkundwar, Mr. A.V. Deshmukh AGPs for Respondents

CORAM : R.M. BORDE &
SANGITRAO S. PATIL, JJ

(Date : 23rd November, 2016.)

PER COURT :-

1 Original Application No.782 of 2004 was presented by the petitioners before the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal, raising same issue, which has been raised in the instant petitions. The Tribunal while disposing of the Original Application observed:-

" G) Ad-hoc appointees/daily wagers shall be allowed to participate in the competitive selection process by giving them the benefit of relaxation in upper age limit to the extent of service rendered by them as adh-hoc/daily wage or contractual employees."

2 In view of determination of the issue by the tribunal another Original Applications presented by the petitioners being O.A.

No.419/2014 and other companion Original Applications have been disposed of, as withdrawn, by the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal on 30.8.2016.

3 In view of finality attached to the orders in Original Application No.782/2004 & other applications, the grievance raised by the petitioners in the instant petitions again, does not deserve consideration. The petitions are devoid of substance and hence stand disposed of.

(SANGITRAO S. PATIL, J)

(R.M.BORDE, J)