

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE, BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

ARBITRATION APPLICATION NO. 11 OF 2016

AURANGABAD CITY WATER UTILITY CO. LTD.
VS.

AURANGABAD MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

...
Advocate for applicant : R.F. Totala
Advocate for respondent : A.S. Bajaj
...

CORAM : T.V. NALAWADE, J.
DATED : 24th October, 2016.

ORDER :

1. Heard both the sides in Arbitration Application No. 11/2016. Already one Arbitrator is appointed by the contractor and his name is mentioned in the application itself. Today, learned counsel for the Municipal Corporation submitted that the Corporation has proposed one name. He has handed over a letter showing that name. The submissions made show that the Corporation has already terminated the contract. In view of this circumstance, there is no alternative than to appoint the arbitrators as provided in the agreement so that adjudication of the disputes takes place at the earliest possible.

2. Following two arbitrators are appointed as per the agreement between the parties and the provisions of the

Arbitration and Conciliation Act. 1996.

Shri. P.S. Ambika, Retd. Chief Engineer, CIDCO is suggested by the contractor.

Shri. S.R. Tambe (Rtd. Secretary PWD) is suggested by the employer, Aurangabad Municipal Corporation.

3. In those terms, the application is allowed and disposed of.

[T.V. NALAWADE, J.]

ssc/