

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 12052 OF 2015

Ramesh Nivrutti Shinde .. Petitioner

Versus

Power Grid Corporation of India Ltd.
Through its Manager and another .. Respondents

Shri P. B. Shirsath, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri S. S. Joshi, Advocate for the Respondent No. 1.

Shri S. G. Karlekar, A.G.P. for the Respondent No. 2.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
A. M. BADAR, JJ.**

DATE : 18TH FEBRUARY, 2016.

PER COURT :

. We have heard Mr. Shirsath, the learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Joshi, the learned counsel for the respondent No. 1/Power Greed Corporation.

2. Affidavit in reply is filed by the Corporation stating that the amount of compensation is paid. According to the petitioner, the same is in respect of crops only and that too on the lower side. In case the petitioner is not satisfied with the amount of compensation paid, the petitioner has remedy U/Sec. 16(3) of the Indian Telegraph Act before the District Judge.

3. Mr. Sirsath, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner be given liberty to approach the District Judge in this regard.

4. The writ petition as such is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner as aforesaid. The Forum where the proceedings would be filed shall consider the time spent in prosecuting the present writ petition. All contentions are kept open.

[A. M. BADAR, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]