

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 9516 OF 2011

M. G. Sevabhavi Sanstha Veer
Hanumantwadi through Secretary .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri V. D. Gunale, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri A. M. Phule, A.G.P. for the Respondent No. 1.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 9517 OF 2011**

M. G. Sevabhavi Sanstha Veer
Hanumantwadi through Secretary .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri V. D. Gunale, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri A. M. Phule, A.G.P. for the Respondent No. 1.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
A. M. BADAR, JJ.**

DATE : 04TH JANUARY, 2016.

PER COURT :

. Mr. Gunale, the learned counsel submits that, the petitioners had filed proposals for opening a orphan centre and

Balgruh. The said proposals are filed in the year 2008, however, the same are not considered, wherein proposals of other institutions for the same purpose have been considered. According to the learned counsel, the proposals of the petitioners were in conformity with the Government Resolutions. The petitioners complied all the conditions.

2. The learned Assistant Government Pleader submits that, in case petitioners file fresh proposals, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

3. The proposal of the year 2008 have become stale. The proposals will be required to be in conformity with the existing guidelines, rules and directives.

4. In the light of that, the petitioners may file fresh proposals for opening orphan centre and Balgruh, which proposals would be considered by respondents in accordance with statute, rules, Government Resolutions and directives expeditiously and preferably within a period of nine (09) months from the date of receipt of proposals. The writ petitions are disposed of. No costs.

[A. M. BADAR, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]