

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 9441 OF 2011

Dadahari Maruti Bedre and others .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri Vinayak H. Solanke, Advocate for Petitioners.

Shri S. B. Pulkundwar, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1, 5 to 7.

Mrs. Kalplata Patil Bharaswadkar, Advocate for Respondent
Nos. 3 and 4.

Shri U. B. Bondar, Advocate for the Respondent No. 8

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
K. K. SONAWANE, JJ.**

DATE : 28TH JUNE, 2016.

PER COURT :

. Heard the learned counsel for petitioners. The grievance of petitioners is that, without acquiring the land of petitioners tender notice is issued by the respondents for construction of the storage tank on the land of the petitioner.

2. Affidavit in reply is filed by the respondent No. 3 to the effect that, directions have been given to cancel the tender notice. The tender has been cancelled.

3. In view of the affidavit filed by the respondent No. 3, the grievance of the petitioners does not subsist. As such, writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[K. K. SONAWANE, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/June 16