

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AT AURANGABAD.**

**Criminal Application No. 4794 of 2016**

District : Hingoli

Namdeo s/o. Dajiba Ghyar  
& another.

.. Applicants.

**versus**

The State of Maharashtra.

.. Respondent.

.....

*Mr. R.N. Dhorde, Senior Advocate, with  
Mr. V.R. Dhorde, Advocate, for applicants.*

*Mr. S.J. Salgare, Addl. Public Prosecutor, for  
the respondent.*

.....

**With**

**Criminal Application No. 5135 of 2016**  
**(In Criminal Application No. 4794 of 2016)**

District : Hingoli

Sanjay s/o. Ambadas Bhanvar.

.. Applicant.

**versus**

Namdeo s/o. Dajiba Ghyar  
& 2 others.

.. Non-applicants.

.....

*Mrs. Pratibha J. Bharad, Advocate, for the applicant.*

*Mr. R.N. Dhorde, Senior Advocate, with  
Mr. V.R. Dhorde, Advocate, for non-applicant nos.1  
& 2.*

*Mr. S.J. Salgare, Addl. Public Prosecutor, for  
non-applicant no.3.*

.....

**With**

**Criminal Application No. 4688 of 2016**

|                    |
|--------------------|
| District : Hingoli |
|--------------------|

Nandabai w/o. Atmaram Bhanvar .. Applicant.

**versus**

The State of Maharashtra. .. Respondent.

.....

*Mr. N.S. Ghanekar, Advocate, for the applicant.*

*Mr. S.J. Salgare, Addl. Public Prosecutor, for  
the respondent.*

.....

**With**

**Criminal Application No. 4774 of 2016**  
**(In Criminal Application No. 4688 of 2016)**

|                    |
|--------------------|
| District : Hingoli |
|--------------------|

Sanjay s/o. Ambadas Bhanvar. .. Applicant.

**versus**

Nandabai w/o. Atmaram Bhanvar  
& another .. Non-applicants.

.....

*Mrs. Pratibha J. Bharad, Advocate, for the applicant.*

*Mr. N.S. Ghanekar, Advocate, for non-applicant no.1.*

*Mr. S.J. Salgare, Addl. Public Prosecutor, for  
non-applicant no.2.*

.....

**CORAM : A.M. BADAR, J.**

**DATE : 4TH OCTOBER 2016**

**ORAL ORDER:**

A motion is made for speaking to minutes. The learned Counsel for applicants in Criminal Application No. 4794 of 2016, which is disposed of by common order dated 16th September 2016, mentions that though he was appearing for the said applicants, his appearance is not mentioned in the order.

2. The appearance of Mr. Dhorde, the learned Counsel for the applicant in Criminal Application No. 4794 of 2016 be incorporated in the title clause of the order dated 16th September 2016.

3. Similarly, appearance of the learned Counsel Mrs. P.J. Bharad, who assisted the learned Addl. Public Prosecutor, should also be incorporated in the title clause of the order dated 16th September 2016.

(4)

Cri. Appln. No. 4974 of 2016 &  
connected Applications

4. Motion stands disposed of in the above terms.

( A.M. BADAR )  
JUDGE

.....

puranik / CRIAPPLN4794.16etc