

- 1 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.12583/2016

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.10240/2013

M/s Paravin Engineering.

...Applicant..

Versus

The Development Commissioner
(Micro, Small and Medium
Enterprises) & others.

...Respondents...

.....

Shri V.S. Janephalkar, Advocate for applicant.

Smt.S.S. Raut, AGP for the State.

Shri S.P. Salgar, Advocate h/f Shri A.S. Pathak, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

None for respondent no.3.

.....

**CORAM: S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
K.L. WADANE, JJ.**

DATE: 02.12.2016

ORDER :

1] Shri S.P. Salgar, Advocate h/f Shri A.S. Pathak,
learned counsel appears for the respondent no.2. On the
last occasion, the copy is served on Shri N.B. Khandare,
learned counsel for respondent no.3.

2] Writ Petition No.10240/2013 was admitted, however,

- 2 -

as the copies were not supplied, the same came to be dismissed.

3] We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the respective parties.

4] For the reasons given in the application, the application is allowed. Delay is condoned and the writ petition is restored to its original stage on the condition that if any office objections are there, the same shall be removed within two weeks from today. Civil application is disposed of.

(K.L. WADANE, J.) (S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)