

- 1 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.12491/2015

Shivaji Ramji Halge & others.
...Petitioners..

Versus

Union Government of India & others.
...Respondents...

.....

Shri S.G. Rudrawar, Advocate for petitioners.
Shri V.S. Badak, AGP for the State.
Shri A.G. Talhar, Standing Counsel for respondent no.1.
Shri D.S. Kulkarni, Advocate for respondent no.3.
Shri R.K. Ingole, Advocate for respondent no.6.

.....

**CORAM: S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
K.L. WADANE, JJ.**

DATE: 19.10.2016

ORDER :

- 1] Heard learned counsel appearing for the parties.
- 2] The petitioners state that the compensation that is paid to them is on the lower side and not commensurate with the damage caused. Whereas, according to the respondents, the compensation with regard to the crop insurance has been paid as is admissible.
- 3] It is not possible in the writ jurisdiction of this

- 2 -

Court to embark upon the investigation of the disputed questions of fact.

4] In the light of above, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to take up such proceedings as may be permissible in law. No costs.

(K.L. WADANE, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)